Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
H1	Boscombe & Pokesdown	(b) the boundary of Boscombe and Pokesdown should include Fishermans Walk and Portman parade as these are historically part of Pokesdown and not Southbourne.	Agree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living in proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH7 6
H2	Boscombe & Pokesdown	a, I think having a community council in our area can only do good. With ringfenced funds, grant funding, the ability to create a neighbourhood plan and close links to BCP, i would hope despite the slight council tax increase we would see positive changes in the area. The community wants change, i have been actively speaking to local people through events run by the southbourne forum as well as local facebook groups and people love where they live but feel let down and have no trust for by BCP. Having the ability to manage our own area locally when bcp is so stretched can only be a positive thing.	Agree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living in proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH5 2
Н3	Boscombe & Pokesdown	a,c, I agree with the recommendations as they plainly say what the area is about. By splitting into 4 wards each area can be supported with their own problems.	Agree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living in proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH5 2

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
H4	Boscombe & Pokesdown	d: there is no definition given in the report of "Community Council" and legislation provides that they can be differently constituted. However If you really want people to have a say in governing their community the bodies created should be full parish councils with the statutory role, powers, and duties. If you create a Community Council that only has an advisory role their is little point and you will create a bureaucracy that has no real value as it can be disregarded by Councillors and the Council.	Agree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living in proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH5 1
H5	Boscombe & Pokesdown	I don't understand what you are talking about. This is not an easy survey to follow. Also I am quite well read and had to look up 'coterminus'. How do you expect ordinary local people to get involved?	Agree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living in proposal area	Boscombe West	BH5 1
Н6	Boscombe & Pokesdown	I think the Portman and Central Pokesdown ward name needs to be changed. I live in the proposed Boscombe North and Pokesdown ward. Portman Road is in our patch. I just think it will be confusing. It ought to be called the Parkwood Ward because Parwood Road will be the main Road running through there.	Agree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living in proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH7 6

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
H7	Boscombe & Pokesdown	My main concern about having a separate area including boscombe is that as a deprived area I imagine council tax take is lower. What I don't know is would that mean the funding for this council would be lower than other areas - thus perpetuating the cycle of deprivation in boscombe and limiting the scope of the potential council compared to more affluent areas?	Agree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living in proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH5 2
Н8	Boscombe & Pokesdown	There is a logic to the proposal.	Agree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living in proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH7 6
Н9	Boscombe & Pokesdown	These changes would be positive for Pokesdown & Boscombe .These changes would inspire and benefit Pokesdown & Boscombe because it would help maintain and regenerate both areas.	Agree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living in proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH5 2

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
H10	Boscombe & Pokesdown	(All drafts) The markings do not match with the constituencies. The names also dont match. We do not need more than one person for each area. The cost of funding these people when we already have elected members is not needed nor justified.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living in proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH6 5
H11	Boscombe & Pokesdown	A) I am unsure as to what value this proposal will add to local residents- it will only increase the council tax burden and deliver very little. Moreover, parish council election turnouts across the UK are often pitiful - I cannot understand why it has been deemed necessary to bring them to BCP. The promise of greater democracy falls short against the light of reality - this will be another group of individuals, often motivated by strong views on limited topics, making decisions that they are not qualified to do. Keep it in the hands of council officers/experts and save us money.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living in proposal area	Boscombe West	BH5 1
H12	Boscombe & Pokesdown	a) My belief is there is not an appetite for a Parish or Community Council in Bournemouth as a whole and this CGR has generated a consultation to choose one or another. Instead I am of the opinion if to 'do nothing at all' was an option in the consultation then the majority of the consultation responses would be in favor of a 'change is unnecessary' response.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living in proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH5 2

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
H13	Boscombe & Pokesdown	a) to h) The Pokesdown area has been adequately served by the Bournemouth Council for many years until the BCP Council took over. We do not need another layer of expensive administrators.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living in proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH5 2
H14	Boscombe & Pokesdown	a, b, c, d, e, f, g, &h I do not agree with the creation of a parish council for this area. a. This proposal will create an additional layer of local government which undoes some of the streamlining/cost saving benefits of the council reorganisation that has taken place over recent years. It will inevitably increase council tax for residents. The ethos of creating small boroughs/parishes is inward-looking and exclusive - I prefer to belong to a town which is inclusive towards all residents and where facilities and opportunities are shared and open to all. b. through h I disagree with because all are irrelevant without the creation of proposed parish councils.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living in proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH7 6
H15	Boscombe & Pokesdown	a. I do not want a parish council, i feel the benefit would be neglible	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living in proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH7 6

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
H16	Boscombe & Pokesdown	a. I don't believe that a parish needs to be set up in Southbourne. Parish councils are a waste of money, and also they won't have enough money to attend to jobs in a timely manner, they will therefore get contracted out, and not done properly. The environment will suffer the most as the effort won't be made to address the crisis facing nature, as money will be going on wages that do not need to be spent.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living in proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH6 5
H17	Boscombe & Pokesdown	a-h (all) unnecessary layer of bureaucracy and costs plus confusion over responsibilities of councils.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living in proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH6 5
H18	Boscombe & Pokesdown	All - a totally unnecessary and costly additional layer of bureaucracy in an urban area which my BCP councillors are more than capable of representing	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living in proposal area	Boscombe West	BH5 1

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
H19	Boscombe & Pokesdown	All clauses. The whole thing is an absurd idea add will add an unnecessary level of beaurocracy and inequality to local town management. Additional expenses that many locals can ill afford, and variations from one designated district to another. This type of devolution can work for small parishes lying outside of cities and towns, but will not work here. I fear there is also the potential that people with businesses or property in the area may able to exert undue influence.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living in proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH6 5
H20	Boscombe & Pokesdown	b) I don't feel the boundary is right as it cuts through areas considered by most to be part of the general Southbourne area. I'd be concerned that 2 different community councils wouldn't work well together to ensure consistency of approach to all of the Southbourne Grove commercial area. As a resident, I consider I live in Southbourne and I regularly use and enjoy all Southbourne has to offer yet I'd sit in Boscombe North and Pokesdown Hill ward and the precept I'd pay wouldn't be contributing to the community I live in.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living in proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH6 5
H21	Boscombe & Pokesdown	Bournemouth should have a single parish council covering the exact boundaries of the former Bournemouth Borough Council.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living in proposal area	Boscombe West	BH5 1

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
H22	Boscombe & Pokesdown	D - there shouldn't be any changes as its not needed	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living in proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH7 6
H23	Boscombe & Pokesdown	Don't agree there needs to be another layer of bureaucracy. Can see no benefit to local community. BCP needs to focus on Boscombe and Bournemouth town centre area. Drugs/alcohol and antisocial behaviour should be BCP's focus. Also retail staff do not feel safe in certain shops due to crime levels. The BCP area is a in a poor state, the charm of Bournemouth has disappeared by poor decisions made by BCP councillors. So I will not be supporting another bad decision.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living in proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH7 6
H24	Boscombe & Pokesdown	Don't like that you have moved us from Southbourne to Pokesdown / Boscombe. Southbourne is a much better area than Boscombe	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living in proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH5 2

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
H25	Boscombe & Pokesdown	H - this is a very large number of people to be councillors. I think it will cause confusion and they will also be frustrated a s their role will be limited F the name St Clements and Boscombe hospital - the hospital closed 30 years ago. these names are dividing in an unpleasant way - I do not lie to have this put upon my area.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living in proposal area	Boscombe West	BH1 4
H26	Boscombe & Pokesdown	I am commenting on all the recommendations. Bournemouth Christchurch and Poole have only recently been amalgamated allegedly for simplification so why now introduce further layers of responsibility? More layers, more confusion as to who is responsible for what.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living in proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH7 6
H27	Boscombe & Pokesdown	I am happy for the existing parish councils to continue but disagree with the creation of any further parish councils being created within the BCP Area. The whole point of having a unitary authority was to have economies of scale with fewer staff and less costs. Why spend more money on a local government tier that has very limited power? It's just another layer of bureaucracy and cost.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living in proposal area	Boscombe West	BH5 1

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
H28	Boscombe & Pokesdown	I am opposed to the introduction of Parish Councils in principle. Parish Councils will exacerbate the older unbearable inequality in the UK and in the BCP conurbation.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living in proposal area	Boscombe West	BH1 4
H29	Boscombe & Pokesdown	I disagree strongly about all the poposals	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living in proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH5 1
H30	Boscombe & Pokesdown	I disagree with all these proposals, it serves no purpose to add layers of authority/responsibility to what is not a particularly large area. Confusing for residents and just a recipe for obfuscation	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living in proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH7 6

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
H31	Boscombe & Pokesdown	I don't think that creating another layer of bureaucracy is effective use of time and funds. Instead the existing elected BcP cuncillors should continue with their work. There are issues within BCP, money seems to be the biggest worry. I don't think that creating parish councils will solve that. I can also see that the proposals are extremely detailed, someone must have spent a lot of time putting them together, but is this what the residents want?	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living in proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH7 6
H32	Boscombe & Pokesdown	I have seen no evidence that developing a parish council system would bring any benefit to the area. At no point has their role been fully explained, what will they be responsible for? How much will it cost the residents, what benefit will it bring. It seems we will get another layer of costly councillors with no benefit, no clear role and no clear cost. My concil tax is already excessively high considering the poor state of BcP play parks, roads and current traffic situation I can't see how paying more people to make decisions will be in anyway helpful.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living in proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH7 6
H33	Boscombe & Pokesdown	I object whole heartedly to the unnecessary layers of Local Government that you are proposing. Not only will they add to confusion over which council does which job, they will increase my council tax bill which I cannot afford.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living in proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH5 2

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
H34	Boscombe & Pokesdown	I see no reason for yet another layer of bureaucracy. This will be particularly confusing where the management of certain activities could fall between the parish council and BCP. I do not think that the cost of supporting parish councils is a valid expenditure when the cost of living is already causing significant problems for many families. If our existing elected BCP councillors represented their communities properly then there would be no need for this suggestion	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living in proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH5 2
H35	Boscombe & Pokesdown	I think it makes much more sense for Pokesdown to be attached to Southbourne, given Pokesdown melds into Southbourne along Seaborne Road. Pokesdown itself is a small, contained, quiet place, which has its own unique character that is completely different from the demographics and urbane entertainment of Boscombe. Pokesdown is much more about families, schools and family recreation: the park, the beach, local shops in Southbourne. the local library and so on. It would help the community locally much more if Pokesdown's interests could be considered along with Southbourne's.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living in proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH7 6
H36	Boscombe & Pokesdown	I think its a waste of money to create another layer of beaurocracy and another layer of councillors and costs. most people wil lnot gewt invovoed anyway. BCP is not that big an area that it needs splitting into little areas. The whole ideac of a Unitary authority is that its ONE level. Creating more levels again is going backwards.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living in proposal area	Boscombe West	BH1 4

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
Н37	Boscombe & Pokesdown	I'm worried that as soon as pokesdown becomes a parish, we will be charged more council tax. My son and his wife are buying their property and are finding it more and more difficult to keep up with bills. We have to pay so much council tax, with not alot in return. I'm seeing less and less and the council getting more and more, every time a house gets knocked down, flats get built, with more council tax for the council. Bournemouth is becoming an embarrassment apart from our beaches.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living in proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH5 2
H38	Boscombe & Pokesdown	It seems this implementation could mean more cost for the citizen.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living in proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH5 2
H39	Boscombe & Pokesdown	little contact by existing councillor since election. Expenses will create more debt on an already bankrupt council which couldn't even be prepared to finance a VE80 event!	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living in proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH7 6

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
H40	Boscombe & Pokesdown	Our current local councilors already do a great job, we don't need another level of bureaucracy at an additional cost to the local community	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living in proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH7 6
H41	Boscombe & Pokesdown	Parish councils are damaging for BCP council, enabling greater fragmentation, inequality between areas, corruption and prevention the integrated transformation that the area needs.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living in proposal area	Boscombe West	BH1 4
H42	Boscombe & Pokesdown	Pointless to have yet another council with additional costs. BCP already covers everything it should and adding another will only complicate and cost more	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living in proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH5 2

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
H43	Boscombe & Pokesdown	Recommendations a to g: I strongly object to the creation of parishes within BCP Council area and certainly do not wish for one to be created within the area in which I reside. This is an unnecessary additional layer of bureaucracy which will have to be paid for by residents and which will be a burden financially. We already have local Councillors for our area who work on our behalf, consult and initiate/ progress projects for the local area. Residents already have the opportunity to have their say, voice concerns and promote initiatives via multiple channels.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living in proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH5 2
H44	Boscombe & Pokesdown	Strongly disagree - complete waste of time and money. Will lead to unnecessary layers of bureaucracy, which will confuse residents. We do not need more councillors, with the added cost this will bring. It will add an extra burden to the council tax payer when many people are struggling with the cost of living, to pay for service that BCP Council should already be delivering. Why should we pay twice for the same services. BCP was formed to bring legacy council together to make vital savings - this proposal is just going backwards and recreating a larger, more cumbersome service structure	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living in proposal area	Boscombe West	BH5 1
H45	Boscombe & Pokesdown	The best way forward is through efficiency, adding more layers of Council in my opinion is just adding confusion and not needed wanted. The time and energy wasted on this could be put to better use such as improving existing governance	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living in proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH6 5

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
H46	Boscombe & Pokesdown	The local BCP council is already struggling to fund existing social programmes, the last thing needed is more councillors, drawing from the public purse to tell us that there is now even less money to spend on the community	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living in proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH7 6
H47	Boscombe & Pokesdown	There is absolutely no need for change, I will create an unnecessary financial burden. First we were 3 boroughs, after consultation we decided to create BCP for reasons that were debated and agreed, created parishes are defeating the object! It will be an extra cost which is not warranted. My comments cover all the questions (abc) let's stick to what we already have and pay our Council tax to one entity BCP Council	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living in proposal area	Boscombe West	BH1 4
H48	Boscombe & Pokesdown	There is no need for an additional layer of politicians. It will add bureaucracy and cost to an already struggling council. The officers who work for the council do not need this additional input whne trying to improve and support residents.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living in proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH5 2

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
H49	Boscombe & Pokesdown	There is no need for Parish Councils; they will merely create inequity and division. There is no need for an extra layer of admin/beurocracy/red tape. The proposed responsibilities of the Parish councils will be negligible and, therefore, not worth the cost. There will be a risk of "buck-passing" and uncertainty re who is responsible for what. The proposed boundaries are arbitrary and potentially classist.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living in proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH5 2
H50	Boscombe & Pokesdown	There is way too much bureaucracy in government as it is. Adding extra layers simply gives people a role that they feel compelled to justify by adding debate and challenge rather than just getting on with it and stop wasting time and money. The public sector is rife with time wasters. I actually feel the current BCP cabinet knows what it is doing. Adding semi-intelligent people on a further level will hinder progress. Leave well alone.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living in proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH5 2
H51	Boscombe & Pokesdown	This in its entrity is a complete waste of time and money. This is a default response of the council to think "I know lets put up some new signs and rebrand something and that will make it all better." I shudder to think how much money has been spent on this already. This is beyond stupid. Maybe spend the council tax we pay you on more police officers, or cleaner streets, or better lighting, or more community support for vulnerable people, or shop watch schemes, or better CCTV. It appears to me that some councillors apply to be councillors but dont really have a clue what to do when they actually get into the council. I whole heartedly agree with Eleanor Connollys assessmentmaybe listen to her - she seems to know what shes talking about.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living in proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH6 5

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
H52	Boscombe & Pokesdown	This seems a completely uneccessary complication and overhead of bureacracy. I fail to see any benefit to this.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living in proposal area	Boscombe West	BH5 1
H53	Boscombe & Pokesdown	To all recommendations. We have enough bureaucracy in BCP as it is. Unitary authorities exist to eradicate layers of local government. This meritless proposal - which seems born out of an apparent desire from Southbourne to have a "town council" and a totally wrongheaded approach from the current administration that Southbourne should get a council simply because it wants one (which is anti-democratic in the wider BCP context). Boscombe & Pokesdown (and actually every other area being considered for this insanity) don't need further strata of "government". They need the existing administration to get on with something useful rather than these "jobs for our chums" vanity projects.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living in proposal area	Boscombe West	BH1 4
H54	Boscombe & Pokesdown	Too much red tape	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living in proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH5 2

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
H55	Boscombe & Pokesdown	Totally unnecessary layer of bureaucracy! The area of BCP was created and so the councillors should knuckle done and do the job that they were elected for and for which they are paid. And not resort to creating and burden unpaid voluntary people with matters!	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living in proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH5 2
H56	Boscombe & Pokesdown	Under 12(a) I strongly disagree that a parish of Boscombe and Pokesdown be established. This creates a whole extra level of unnecessary bureaucracy and confusion over which council is responsible for what. This will be extremely inefficient and it would be better if BCP Council could improve their own services rather than making it more complicated for residents to get things done. Under 12(b) it sets up artificial divisions between the communities of Pokesdown/Boscombe and Southbourne and may create competing priorities. Fisherman's Walk has been put into Southbourne Council even though these amenities are used by the surrounding streets which are in the Boscombe and Pokesdown Council, but those residents will have no say about the use and maintenance of this green space. The Woodside Road Car Park has also been put into the Southbourne Council area and this means that the businesses in Seabourne Road will have no say about the use and maintenance of this car park which is vital to them. The two Councils of Boscombe and Pokesdown, and Southbourne, may have competing priorities so things like maintenance along the clifftop, or along roads that run across the boundaries, may change dramatically as you cross the boundary. All this is divisive and unnecessary. Under 12(g) - an extra 14 councillors seems a completely unnecessary bureaucratic layer.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living in proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH5 2

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
H57	Boscombe & Pokesdown	We do mot need another layer of beaurocy	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living in proposal area	Boscombe West	BH5 1
H58	Boscombe & Pokesdown	We don't need more expensive layers of government wasting money on pointless grand schemes. We need less government, not more	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living in proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH7 6
H59	Boscombe & Pokesdown	We will not benefit from having little sun or parish councils.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living in proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH5 2

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
H60	Boscombe & Pokesdown	What on earth do we need yet another council for? I want more from bcp, not to pay more council tax for another council which has no power. What an absolute waste of money and time	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living in proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH7 6
H61	Boscombe & Pokesdown	Worried about extra staff it would need, along with council tax rises which will happen in the end. The unnecessary bureaucracy . I just dont see any benefit to this what so ever.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living in proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH5 2

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
H62	Boscombe & Pokesdown	 (a) Strongly agree – Boscombe and Pokesdown have a rich cultural heritage, distinct from surrounding areas, and deserve a formal local council to represent their unique character and needs. A community council will provide a platform to elevate resident voices and improve responsiveness to local issues. (b)Somewhat Disagree – The proposed boundary is coherent and based on existing polling districts, with careful consideration given to natural boundaries such as the railway and parkland. The inclusion of Boscombe Chine Gardens is a welcome correction, recognising its symbolic and practical value to the community. See below for a recomendation to combine Boscombe, Pokesdown and Southbourne (c & f) Strongly agree – The combined naming reflects the identity and partnership of the two areas. Maintaining this recognition supports community cohesion and ensures clarity of representation. (d & e) Agree – The 'community' style is particularly apt given the area's diversity and grassroots activism. This sets an inclusive and modern tone, likely to resonate with residents and stakeholders alike. (g, h & i) Agree – The proposed warding ensures fair and balanced representation, with all councillor-to-elector ratios falling well within the ±10% benchmark. The ward names are locally meaningful and align with existing community geographies. 	Agree	Christchurch Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Commons	BH23 2
H63	Boscombe & Pokesdown	 (b) and (f) - based on my knowledge of the local population and its proclivities (I lived here for almost fifty years), plus the remarks of Cllr Connolly at the Overview and Scrutiny Board meeting of 4 March 2025, it would be seriously unwise to designate any land north of Southbourne Road as part of "Boscombe and Pokesdown". It is true that the Pokesdown Urban District Council, which flourished between 1895 and 1901, included all of this area - it's northern boundary was Cranleigh Road while it's eastern boundary followed the line between Irving Road (laid out in 1893) and Watcombe Road (not laid out until 1911), then took an eastern swerve and ended up following the line of Clifton Road. A lot has changed since 1901 however, and the affluent residents of Castlemain Avenue (with their views overlooking the Stour Valley) have tended to identify as "West Southbourne" residents, or simply "Southbourne", throughout living memory. (g) and (h) - 14 councillors (and 4 for certain wards) sounds far too many, especially for an area that will have 9 unitary ward councillors (including 3 for the East Cliff & Springbourne land at Boscombe Chine). 	Agree	Christchurch Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Christchurch Town	BH23 2

	a)			area	ward	postcode
H64 Boscombe & Pokesdown	The Boscombe and Pokesdown Community Forum submitted the proposal for a community council on behalf of our residents. We believe that establishing a community council would strengthen local democratic voice, support grassroots engagement, and allow residents to take more ownership over decisions that affect the area — particularly around planning, public realm, and community priorities. It feels like a logical and timely progression following the successful development of the Boscombe and Pokesdown Neighbourhood Plan. A community council would provide a formal structure through which more local people might be encouraged to participate in shaping the future of their neighbourhood, offering a stronger and more consistent platform for community-led regeneration. Of course, we respect that it is ultimately for the people of Boscombe and Pokesdown to decide through this consultation whether they support the proposal. However, we strongly oppose any arrangement in which the area would be included in a larger town council by default if our proposal is not successful. The scale and geographic reach of the proposed Bournemouth-wide town council would be far too large to adequately represent the unique character, needs, and aspirations of our community. It would, in effect, replicate the old Bournemouth Borough Council in size and scope — contrary to the spirit and purpose of parish or community councils, which are typically smaller, more agile, and better able to reflect truly local voices. b) We disagree with the proposed boundary changes that would reassign a section of the eastern part of Boscombe and Pokesdown to Southbourne. These alterations were not requested in either the Boscombe and Pokesdown or Southbourne submissions, nor were they part of any formal community proposal. They appear to have been introduced unilaterally by a member of the Task and Finish Group and lack both community mandate and coherence. The proposed boundary around Fisherman's Walk is especially problematic. It encloses the green spa	Agree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
		risks leaving these areas without protection or policy guidance once the BAP NP is reviewed following the adoption of the new BCP Local Plan. Finally, it is important to note the historical significance of Fisherman's Walk. It is one of the traditional routes down to the beach dating back to the original formation of Boscombe from three landed estates: the Portman Estate, the Shelley Estate, and the estate of Sir Henry Drummond-Wolff. To reassign this site away from Boscombe and Pokesdown not only fragments the neighbourhood's historic identity, but also creates confusion over long-established associations and uses. We urge that these boundary changes be reconsidered in order to maintain the integrity of the neighbourhood plan, preserve historical and community identity, and ensure consistency with the principles of community-led governance.	established		area		
H65	Boscombe & Pokesdown	agree with recommendations as council area large enough to viable, but keeps the local areas well represented, including the vibrant mix that makes the Boscombe and Pokesdown area.	Agree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 3

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
H66	Boscombe & Pokesdown	b) I support Fisherman's Walk being part of Southbourne and would have thought that Portman Crescent and Montague Road also have strong links to Southbourne and should therefore be included in Southbourne, and not Boscombe and Pokesdown.	Agree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
H67	Boscombe & Pokesdown	B. As a southbourne resident it seems odd that fisherman's walk is split currently so strongly agree that this should be amended in line with recommendations	Agree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 3
H68	Boscombe & Pokesdown	Boscombe needs its own council due to the specific and enduring problems it has related to deprivation,crime, and drug use.	Agree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
H69	Boscombe & Pokesdown	I support the idea of a parish or town council for Boscombe and Pokesdown in principle, especially if it helps bring more local representation and investment into the area. I work in Boscombe regularly and feel strongly connected to its identity, particularly through my role as the artist behind Chaplin's Bar. It's a place with a lot of creativity, history, and potential — and I'd like to see that nurtured. However, I don't agree with some of the boundary changes being proposed. I live on Fisherman's Avenue facing Fisherman's Walk, which I've always known to be in Boscombe. I enjoy being on the border with Southbourne and feel it's important that this mix of character and connection between the two places is preserved. I also feel strongly that the block of buildings containing the Brewhouse & Kitchen, as well as the nearby car park, should remain in Pokesdown. That part of the high street has its own distinct feel — it's not Southbourne, and it shouldn't be absorbed into it. The car park in question serves more of the businesses closer to Pokesdown, where parking is tighter and the roads narrower, not the lower Southbourne High Street which already has more onstreet parking. A&B Overall, I'm in favour of Boscombe's proposals — but I think the area around the old Boscombe train station and the hospital buildings at King's Park should definitely be included. I used to go to the nurseries there frequently and was really saddened when they closed. It's a part of Boscombe's history and daily life that shouldn't be forgotten or left out.	Agree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 3
H70	Boscombe & Pokesdown	Regarding recommendations (b), and (f). I disagree in that I believe that, culturally, polling districts LI2 and LI3 should either belong to this ward or the Southbourne ward over and above their current designation of Littledown & Iford. I believe a more fitting designation for these areas to be either "Boscombe East & Iford" or "Southbourne North & Iford".	Agree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH7 6

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
H71	Boscombe & Pokesdown	Why change for change's sake?? Too many Councillors	Agree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH3 7
H72	Boscombe & Pokesdown	(a) I do not think that a parish council is necessary but if it is decided that one is, then (b) it should be (b), as has been consulted on previously	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	East Cliff & Springbourne	BH1 4
H73	Boscombe & Pokesdown	(a) Please see comments on the wider issues (Bournemouth, Poole) re benefits of co-ordination, consistency and liaison across the conurbation plus the efficiency gains (to council(s) and residents) from having a single body address issues.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Queen's Park	BH8 9

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
H74	Boscombe & Pokesdown	 (a) There is no need for another layer of governance, (b) If the council is established I think Fisherman's Walk, Portman Terrace and Beresford Road should be part of Southbourne, (g) The BCP Council wards of Boscombe West and Boscombe East & Pokesdown have 4 councillors in total. There is no need that the possible community council should have more than three times the representation of the main council. If the possible community council is established the number of councillors should not exceed the number of main councillors. Therefore one community councillor per proposed community ward would sufficient. A total of 4 councillors only. (h) If the possible community council is established one councillor per proposed ward is sufficient, See reply to (g) above 	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 3
H75	Boscombe & Pokesdown	(a) The current arrangements should remain in place and it should be left to the residents to instigate local parish councils if and when they feel the need. This will better reflect what residentials in the various areas want enabling them to define what they consider to be the identified boundaries of their community as well as identifying the issues that are specific to their areas – rather than having it imposed upon them.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Muscliff & Strouden Park	BH8 0
H76	Boscombe & Pokesdown	 This is likely to suffer from the same democratic deficit of existing parish councils - abysmally low turnouts and uncontested elections. Both are deeply undemocratic. It would be more democratic for BCP Council to continue to perform the functions that this proposed community council would perform and for the BCP Council ward councillors to represent their residents through BCP Council and its processes. In addition to the democratic deficit, Boscombe and Pokesdown is an area that has significant deprivation. It would be unable to raise significant enough revenue to deliver real change, and if it attempted to do so, it would impose a significant additional tax burden upon residents that are already struggling with the cost-of-living crisis. 	Disagree	Redhill & Northbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	Redhill & Northbourne	BH10 6

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
H77	Boscombe & Pokesdown	'a' I think the system works well as it is, I think the proposed new system would introduce an additional level of bureaucracy that we don't need I also understand that if the new changes happen we will loose a dedicated allotment officer which as a keen allotment owner I think would have a negative impact	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH7 6
H78	Boscombe & Pokesdown	a and b. The BCP councillors elected for this area oppose the proposal because the boundary with Southbourne cuts across the local community. No-one appears to be able to find a good boundary that works. Creating a separate parish for Boscombe also detracts from the creation of a Bournemouth Town Council that makes sense, either now or in the future. I feel that Boscombe is clearly as much a part of Bournemouth as Westbourne is.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 2
H79	Boscombe & Pokesdown	A drain on public funding and no substance offered for improved services. Disgusting proposal and massive waste of resources. Secutary of state has been emailed on the fiasco.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 7

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
H80	Boscombe & Pokesdown	a There is no benefit to social cohesion and community. The proposal will separate the wealthier area of Southbourne from the less affluent Boscombe. This will create division where there was none before. Cash strapped Boscombe will deteriorate while wealthier Southbourne will improve. The disparity between the areas will see Southbourne becoming far more expensive and the area of Southbourne Grove will suffer. Poorer families will be priced out of the area and the vibrant mixed use Grove will go the same way as Southbourne Crossroads.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5
H81	Boscombe & Pokesdown	 a) and d) I don't believe we need an extra layer of admin and bureaucracy. I don't see a benefit to residents in establishing parish councils but I do see that there will be additional costs to residents, and BCP councillors will be less accountable for maintaining the separate local areas, and will pass the buck to parish councils for issues it finds too expensive or too difficult to deal with. What was the point in amalgamating the town councils into BCP if only to create a new sub-layer of councillors? I thought the larger council was meant to benefit from economies of scale, better purchasing power and reduced admin and bureaucracy. The creation of parish councils undermines the arguments for the creation of BCP. b) This boundary means that Littledown residents don't have councillors representing their views on Kings Park, which backs onto our houses. 	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH7 6
H82	Boscombe & Pokesdown	 a) Disagree with an extra tier of Local Government having had reorgansiations in 1997 and 2019. b) Extra tiers of Authority results in additional bureaucracy, duplication and cost. c) This leads to residents effectively paying twice for the same services d) Changes should involve a whole authority referendum not rely on Councillor decisions e) The total cost of local government can be expected to be higher in authorities with the additional tier of Town/Parish Councils f) Having sought to delayer local authority provision in 1997 and 2019 within BCP localities, for economy and efficiency reasons, the logical approach is to delayer not create additional layers of local authority 	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
H83	Boscombe & Pokesdown	a) I am happy with the current system, we would benefit from improving current system. b)This new proposal will add unnecessary bureaucracy. c) New proposal will bring additional cost to council tax payers. d)New proposal will delay any work being completed in timely manor. e)This divide the community.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH7 7
H84	Boscombe & Pokesdown	a) I see no benefit in creating any new layers of government below BCP Council. I believe that the merger of the three councils (Bournemouth Poole Christchurch) has led to economies of scale which enable the efficient and cost-effective provision of services. To introduce additional local layers of service provision would not be an improvement as the fragmentation of control would lead to less efficiency and higher costs. Further, I find it convenient to have a single point of contact when dealing with 'the council'. I would find it more difficult to make contact with the appropriate service provider and would feel less able to hold them to account if service provision was split across BCP council and a local council.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH6 5
H85	Boscombe & Pokesdown	a) It an unnecessary level of beauracy and an informal council parish council could be created under the existing charter system g)-h) The number of councilors should be less than present BCP councilors supprting the area	Disagree	Postcode Not Matched	respondent living outside proposal area	Postcode Not Matched	

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
H86	Boscombe & Pokesdown	a) Merge it with Bournemouth Town Council	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Bearwood & Merley	BH11 9
H87	Boscombe & Pokesdown	A) there is no need for parish of Boscombe and Pokesdown B) Strongly disagree with the boundary change C) Strongly disagree F) this is a total waste of tax payers money wasted on unnecessary and stupid action by the council. G) The salaries for the 14 councillors will be huge expenses, who is paying for them .It will be a massive increase in our council tax.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH7 7
H88	Boscombe & Pokesdown	a) to h) (all) I strongly disagree with the need for parish councils when we already have an amalgamated Council	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH7 7

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
H89	Boscombe & Pokesdown	a). b). & c) There is no need for an extra layer of government, we already have local council who generally do a good job. We are already facing an economic crisis and this will only add to our taxes with no benefit. It may add 1% to the bill but this will increase year on year as more staff are added.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH7 6
H90	Boscombe & Pokesdown	a)I think it is dispicable to introduce new layers of administration to an already underperforming council and to appoint people in addition to the present town councillors. Existing councillors should be able to continue representing their ward residents in the way they do at present. Residents have an entirely adequate communication line in effect directly to the town council. More layers of bureaucracy will destroy this. People will be pushed from one department to another. By creating another layer, residents will feel distanced from town council level and confused as to whom they should approach. Operations should be simplified, not made more complex. Parish/Local councillors will have no statutory powers and therefore nothing will get done without referring to BCP. There were good reasons for merging the three area councils. The proposal to create local councils flies in the face of many of the advantages of a centralised administration. Instead of spending all this time on setting up a more complex administration, BCP should concentrate on improving existing levels of service.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	East Cliff & Springbourne	BH1 3
H91	Boscombe & Pokesdown	A, b, c, d, e, f, g, h - I don't see any need for a parish council. It is unnecessary and will simply cost the residents more. There is no need for so many councillors either. The present council is ample.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH7 7

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
H92	Boscombe & Pokesdown	A, B, C, D, E, F, H BCP council was created on the basis that a bigger council would be better for residents. This was a rouse just to raise council tax, now there seems to be a push for smaller parish councils and guess whatthis will raise council tax bills. There is no need to increase costs, the council should concentrate on providing better services and provide value for money. This proposal will not do this in anyway.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5
H93	Boscombe & Pokesdown	'a', 'b', 'd' More layers, more bureaucracy, more delays to implementing any initiative. Why create BCP to remove duplication just to devolve responsibility?	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH12 5
H94	Boscombe & Pokesdown	a, d I disagree. Town and Parish councils should be removed as they add an unnecessary layer of councils for no added benefit. Equivalent roles and clear departmental structures already exist under BCP so these should be given the full remit to improve their own services, with existing precept payments being absorbed into these departmental budgets. Ward councillors already provide the representation to ensure community views and cohesion are considered in council decisions.	Disagree	Redhill & Northbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	Redhill & Northbourne	BH10 6

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
H95	Boscombe & Pokesdown	a,b,c Boscombe and Pokesdown should not have a new parish council - there should not be new councils set up at extra cost, where there would be no benefit & possible increase in council tax	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5
H96	Boscombe & Pokesdown	a,b,c,d and any other. Another layer of bureaucracy is not required in the BCP area. There is no rush to make a decision today or next year. If any party has reason to believe Community Governance is needed that subject can be part of the manifesto at the next local elections in May 2027.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 8
H97	Boscombe & Pokesdown	a,b,c,d,e,f:- The creation of parish councils is adding another layer of bureaucracy to the unitary authority of BCP which the bringing together of the 3 councils said it would avoid. We have local councillors that are elected to represent the views of the area they are elected too, and therefore this additional layer will add authority to those who are elected to represent our areas to the large unitary authority.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
H98	Boscombe & Pokesdown	a,b,c,d,e,f,g,h There is no need for further levels of administration. You haven't shown how this would benefit the local population or BCP as a whole. It just seems to be a way of diverting work from the existing council, making their lives easier at the expense of everyone else. We need a breakdown of cost benefits before something like this is proposed	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 9
H99	Boscombe & Pokesdown	a,b,c,d,e,f,g,h We have a Town Council which has council representatives for each area. We do not need more levels of administration but for existing Councillors to do their job and represent their ward to the Council with diligence and hard work on behalf of their electors.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	East Cliff & Springbourne	BH1 3
H100	Boscombe & Pokesdown	a,b,d and f. I believe with the governments current proposals on local government we should not be considering any further changes in local government until the governments decisions on merging councils has been completed and we should take a lead from central government. We have no need for additional councillors and by introducing an additional council we will lengthen the time made for decisions, increase costs and will not provide any material benefit.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Winton East	BH9 1

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
H101	Boscombe & Pokesdown	a]I strongly disagree that there should be any new layers of administration or people appointed in addition to the existing town councillors. Existing councillors should be able to continue representing their ward residents in the way they do at present. Residents have an entirely adequate communication line in effect directly to the town council. By creating another layer, residents will feel distanced from town council level and confused as to whom they should approach. Using the proposed ratio of parish councillors to residents and applying that across the whole of BCP, over 330 would be needed to sit on parish councils. This is nearly 5 times the number of town councillors and will only complicate the general running of the BCP area. Operations should be simplified, not made more complex. There were good reasons for merging the three area councils. The proposal to create local councils flies in the face of many of the advantages of a centralised administration.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	East Cliff & Springbourne	BH1 3
H102	Boscombe & Pokesdown	Abolish all parish councils across BCP, and certainly do not set up any new ones. Stop wasting council tax funding on additional levels of governance that is not required. What tangible value for money to they actually provide, zero. BCP has a number of problems (poor road infrastructure/congestion, roads full of pot holes, a huge homeless and drug/alcohol abuse problem, not enough public services [schools, hospitals, GPs, NHS dentists] for all the new housing estates that are being built) and BCP council what to use council tax funding which could be directed to those issues, to set up Parish Councils who would more likely be made up of old, straight, white, able bodied men, who do not reflect the diversity of the area. BCP have almost bankrupted themselves, implemented ill thought out Green policies/legislation, so we don't need more of the same.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 8
H103	Boscombe & Pokesdown	abolish the council	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH7 6

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
H104	Boscombe & Pokesdown	Afghan all parish councils need to be disbanded immediately	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
H105	Boscombe & Pokesdown	Again just an absurdly small area to have its own authority - should be part of a Bournemouth Town Council if anything.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Queen's Park	BH8 8
H106	Boscombe & Pokesdown	A-h) Council tax should be reduced and services improved	Disagree	Christchurch Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Christchurch Town	BH23 1

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
H107	Boscombe & Pokesdown	All - it is wrong for there to be any additional councils / councillors in any region. The current councillors of BCP have confidently put themselves forward to be voted in to run the local area based on the situation as it currently is / was, so now is the time to step up and put their money where your mouth is and solve the problems without causing more for the local residents. It would be highly inappropriate for this council to move the goalposts half way through their (massively underwhelming) term and create new entities. It's clear that given the appalling behaviour of the controlling alliance, that the move would purely be a vehicle to hack off the services that cannot currently be 'afforded' and all of these white elephants would be passed on to the new 'councils' at which point BCP would claim that they are in a great place whilst the new councils would be failing. I also have no doubt that the councillors and in particular the leader of BCP would constantly throw mud at the new entities and blame them for the inevitable shortcomings. The divisive nature of the Liberal Democrats in particular as well as the rest of the Three Towns Alliance means that nothing positive is ever achieved as they are too busy shirking accountability and blaming everybody else for their many failings. Any new council would also have the partisan issues that plague BCP Council and it isn't right at all.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3
H108	Boscombe & Pokesdown	All draft recommendations: I strongly disagree that there should be a new community council in this area for the following reasons a)The proposals have been put forward by a very small number of individuals. b) Most residents do not even know about these proposals. They have not been democratically chosen. c) Part of this proposal includes a part of EC&S, specifically Boscombe Chine Gardens. Residents in this ward of which I am a councillor have not been consulted. d) It will lead to artificial divisions between neighbourhoods depending on amount of precept charged. This will in turn lead to different levels of service, which will entrench difference and divisions instead of fostering collaboration within and between communities. e) It is not democratic, very few people have contributed to these proposals f) It will cost residents more in a time where many people can ill afford it. g) There are not likely to be many people who want to stand as councillors, leading to a deficit in democracy	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Winton East	BH9 1

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
H109	Boscombe & Pokesdown	All of them. After combining BC & P I cannot see the point of devolving even more.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH7 6
H110	Boscombe & Pokesdown	An un-necessary expence	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Wallisdown & Winton West	BH9 2
H111	Boscombe & Pokesdown	Boscombe and Pokesdown are part of the larger urban area of BCP. There is not enough difference to warrent making the area a separate parish.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Bournemouth Central	BH2 6

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
H112	Boscombe & Pokesdown	Dissolve this unnecessary council	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Alderney & Bourne Valley	BH12 4
H113	Boscombe & Pokesdown	Do not need	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Winton East	BH9 1
H114	Boscombe & Pokesdown	Doesn't need a parish council	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Queen's Park	BH8 9

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
H115	Boscombe & Pokesdown	EC1 the area of Boscombe Gardens should not be included as it is in the Eastcliff and Springbourne Ward. I don't think the boundaries are right.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	East Cliff & Springbourne	BH1 4
H116	Boscombe & Pokesdown	H) The creation of another layer of committee makes a mockery of streamlining the area into BCP, thus creating confusion as to who is responsible for what	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
H117	Boscombe & Pokesdown	Have not read anything to suggest benefit of parish councils of any kind in current financial environment.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Queen's Park	BH8 9

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
H118	Boscombe & Pokesdown	I am against insofar as the reasons detailed in the Bournemouth Town response.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Westbourne & West Cliff	BH4 8
H119	Boscombe & Pokesdown	I am commenting upon Draft A, the first consultation. BCP should improve their own services rather than creating new town, parish or community councils to do their work for them. Further councils would create confusion and unnecessary layers of bureaucracy.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	East Cliff & Springbourne	BH1 4
H120	Boscombe & Pokesdown	I disagree entirely with the concept of an additional level of council activity. It is sufficient that we already have elected councillors who are elected to represent our ward. There is no need for any other representation. The addition of an extra layer will mean that matters will become much more subjective, particularly to the views of the 'parish' councillors.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	East Cliff & Springbourne	BH1 4

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
H121	Boscombe & Pokesdown	I disagree with all of these as I don't agree with Boscombe and Pokesdown being made into a Parish Council on its own. If town councils were to go ahead then Boscombe and Pokesdown should be a part of Bournemouth. If it is allowed to be on its own then all the wards of Poole and Bournemouth should also be separate.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
H122	Boscombe & Pokesdown	I disagree with chopping up areas like this. We should not be going through this exercise at all	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Moordown	BH10 7
H123	Boscombe & Pokesdown	I disagree with the continuation of current parish, community and town councils within BCP Council and I disagree with the establishment of any new parish, community or town councils in BCP. All services and democratic processes should be done through BCP Council and no Council tax precepts should be put in place.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 4

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
H124	Boscombe & Pokesdown	I disagree with the need for parish and town councils	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Moordown	BH9 1
H125	Boscombe & Pokesdown	I disagree with the parishing of Bournemouth as an idea. I think the division of communities is unhelpful and will not serve the interests of the people of Bournemouth well. I can see why people think it will, but without additional money via a precept, we will simply be adding a layer of bureaucracy. The precept adds an extra cost that people do not need. Our responsibility is to make BCP work, not hand parts of it over to someone else. Parishes are the least democratic form of local government, with a huge proportion of elections uncontested.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Queen's Park	BH8 9
H126	Boscombe & Pokesdown	I disagree with the segregation of our community. It has the potential of causing harmful division.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
H127	Boscombe & Pokesdown	I disagree. BCP council will never permit a parish council to get rid of the junkies or do anything else that local people want. It will be a waste of money. Doubtless the desire to establish such parish councils is so that BCP can tax us more so they can give money to charities which encourage junkies to come here.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 9
H128	Boscombe & Pokesdown	I do not agree that any area requires a parish council. I believe that all functions should be carried out by BCP council. Anything more is an unnecessary complication and a waste of money. How are people supposed to know who does what? How can you ensure that the various parish councils act with any consistency?	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Westbourne & West Cliff	BH4 8
H129	Boscombe & Pokesdown	I do not agree that we should have any town/parish councils. If BCP it too large, revert to 3 separate councils agin.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 8

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
H130	Boscombe & Pokesdown	I don't want parish councils in Bournemouth	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH3 7
H131	Boscombe & Pokesdown	I strongly disagree to a Parish Council being formed and would like it kept as it is.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
	proposal area Boscombe & Pokesdown	I strongly disagree with proposals a) and b) that a council with these boundaries be established within Bournemouth. I disagree with splitting up Bournemouth into smaller town councils. Bournemouth has long been made up of many communities all with a shared identity of being part of Bournemouth. We use green spaces, shopping districts and facilities across all of Bournemouth, not just in a particular area. We care about, and are affected by, the whole of Bournemouth's beach & seafront, transport networks, schools & colleges, parks and our town centre, not just the bits closest to us. We should be able to have a voice in decisions affecting the whole area we live in, not be excluded from decisions that affect us. Creating new local government boundaries drawing lines between our areas will formalise and over time reinforce differences between communities, reducing community cohesion. Also, splitting us up into smaller councils with different levels of council tax precept will reinforce inequality within our community. I believe we should be lifting each other up rather than caring for just our own neighbourhood and not the rest of Bournemouth - this doesn't help the wealthier areas in the long run either. The future of Bournemouth Town Centre, or our seafront, matters to us all, wherever we live. We don't know how much they will cost, but I know that even a small council tax precept will be difficult for residents in my area on low incomes. If the area becomes a higher-tax area, certain demographics will be driven out the area which might change the character of the community for good. Finally, I disagree because I cannot see how the proposed boundary can be changed such that community cohesion is not seriously undermined. If the right boundary that accurately reflects our communities cannot be found, then we should not go ahead with a new council. I disagree with b) the proposed boundary between Boscombe & Pokesdown and Southbourne for the following reasons: 1. Divisive: a. The proposed boundary cuts					
		a. The proposed boundary cuts right through a built-up area, drawing a line through a community between otherwise identical streets houses e.g. on Beaufort Road, Portman Crescent, or Southbourne Road. This is not in line with the Government Guidance on Community Governance Reviews which states:					
		I disagree with b) the proposed boundary between Boscombe & Pokesdown and Southbourne for the following reasons: 1. Divisive:					
		"83. As far as boundaries between parishes are concerned, these should reflect the "no-man's land" between communities represented by areas of low population or barriers such as rivers, roads or railways. They need to be, and be likely to remain, easily identifiable."					
		b. Residents in streets either side of the boundary use the same shops, library, green spaces and other amenities around Southbourne Grove. Otherwise					

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
		identical residents would have different council tax precepts, different services and a different council to interface with. The proposed boundary creates a division between a community where there currently is none, which undermines community cohesion and the purpose of creating such councils.					
		c. Many residents of the area are not on high incomes. A council tax precept will be difficult for many residents to accommodate. If residents of the area with little cash to spare are forced to move out, this will change the make-up of the customers and workers that make the commercial district thrive and risks changing the character of the community for good.					
		2. Inefficient: a. The proposed boundary goes down the middle of a beloved row of shops/cafes/restaurants bars that make up Southbourne Grove/Seabourne Road commercial district, which is the heart of the wider community. Establishments such as SoBo Fish, SoBo Sommelier, Renouf's, the Way Outback Brewing Company all would be in a different council to the Brewhouse & Kitchen and other establishments very close by.					
		Community cohesion would be better served by considering the needs of the whole commercial district together, rather than making improvements to only one section of it.					
		b. Any improvements made to the street - or even something like Christmas decorations - may have to stop abruptly at the council boundary midway down the street (because the two different councils would have different budgets and priorities). It doesn't benefit traders if improvements are made right outside their business but services are deteriorating right on their doorstep.					
		Alternatively, traders or anyone wanting to do something positive along the stretch of road would have to interact with two separate councils as well as BCP. Splitting services halfway down a main road risks making them more disjointed and less cost-effective.					
		c. Care for our shared seafront - clifftop, zig zag, beaches - should be joined-up, not split between two service providers. Under the proposed boundary, Fisherman's Walk would be in one council, the cliff lift in another, and otherwise identical clifftop green space cared for by two separate councils. The boundary would be right down the middle of the space used by residents and visitors to the area.					
		I understand those in favour of these councils want to care for our maintenance and improvements to areas such as the clifftop. But the two councils will have different budgets and priorities. Maintenance, repairs and improvements might improve for one stretch of the clifftop but actually get worse for the other stretch. This does nothing to enhance a sense of community, community pride or the appeal of the area.					
		Undemocratic: a. The proposed boundary does not give local residents a voice in matters they care deeply about.					

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
		People who live either side of the boundary care for plans for Southbourne Grove, the clifftop, and all the other facilities they share. It would not be right for many of these residents to not be the same council and not be able to have a voice. b. Under the proposals, many residents who use and volunteer to care for, Fisherman's Walk would be in a different council to it, and therefore not able to have input into decisions about its upkeep and use. This includes the streets right next to it, who are most affected by events happening there. c. The proposed boundary sees Woodside Road car park in a different council to the very shops/businesses that rely on it for their customers' parking. The properties surrounding and relying on the car park should have a voice in decisions relating to it.					
H133	Boscombe & Pokesdown	I was under the impression that creating the BCP council was to reduce the overheads in local government. Introducing a new town council would seem to be an increase in costs and involve additional council tax for residents. At a time when council tax is at an all time high but public services are declining in the BCP area, this doesn't seem an appropriate move.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 9

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
H134	Boscombe & Pokesdown	Its a waste of council tax money and i believe Councillors should be elected not volunteers who will likely have their own agendas.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
H135	Boscombe & Pokesdown	Looks as an extra layer for no benefit, no improvement would come out of it	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	East Cliff & Springbourne	BH1 3
H136	Boscombe & Pokesdown	Merge with Bournemouth	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Kinson	BH10 7

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
H137	Boscombe & Pokesdown	More money wasted. How much have the recommendations cost?	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH7 6
H138	Boscombe & Pokesdown	No benefits to change other than increased administration cost	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Muscliff & Strouden Park	BH9 3
H139	Boscombe & Pokesdown	No convincing case gas been made for the creation of a new parish and parish council.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
H140	Boscombe & Pokesdown	NO NEED TO MAKE ADDITIONAL LAYERS OF BUROCRACY.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	East Cliff & Springbourne	BH1 3
H141	Boscombe & Pokesdown	Our area doesn't need another level of bureaucracy	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Moordown	BH9 1
H142	Boscombe & Pokesdown	Parish councils are an unnecessary administrative tier. They result in additional costs - without value for money - for council tax payers.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
H143	Boscombe & Pokesdown	Parish Councils are an unnecessary extra level of burocracy costing additional precepts to local people when the parish council does not have skilled expertise to carry out duties that should be carried out by competent and trained staff. The environment is a good example. E.g. A parish council could never afford to have skilled expertise in environmental matters - something which is a core responsibility of the Unitary Authority.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
H144	Boscombe & Pokesdown	Parish councils are an unnecessary level of governance as the services they will provide are already covered by BCP. If we need Parish councils to have our community listened to, then maybe BCP should be disbanded instead and go back to individual town councils.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Alderney & Bourne Valley	BH12 4
H145	Boscombe & Pokesdown	Parish councils are superfluous	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 9

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
H146	Boscombe & Pokesdown	Parish Councils generally attract Narcissists and mini Hitlers, who love the power and control, this position gives them in the community. Control over decisions and people that live in these areas. Procedure and following the system is everything, the end result is irrelevant. They have fragile egos and hate criticism. They are in control and you little people must go through me first, in fact, this whole community is under my influence and control.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Bournemouth Central	BH1 1
H147	Boscombe & Pokesdown	Parish councils represent unnecessary bureaucracy and are a waste of money.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Moordown	BH9 1
H148	Boscombe & Pokesdown	Re a and d - the parish council should not be created. It is a waste of tax payers money and adds unnecessary bureaucracy. Public funds are right enough and we should be reducing this bureaucracy not increasing it. I do not think the areas that do have these already get good value for the extra money they pay.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Wallisdown & Winton West	BH9 2

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
H149	Boscombe & Pokesdown	See comments under Broadstone.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living outside proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
H150	Boscombe & Pokesdown	Should be part of an Independent Bournemouth Council or a ward of BCP	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 1
H151	Boscombe & Pokesdown	Some of these areas include people that live/ shop in southbourne.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
H152	Boscombe & Pokesdown	Strongly disagree against any and all town and/or parish councils. If the central Local Authroity cannot function in its current format, then you must disband BCP and hand responsibility back to the separate localities. We are facing a cost of living crisis, and forcing more cost onto the rate payer, especially at a time where BCP has swindled and wasted millions, is a recipe for disaster. I will personally see that if this is forced through that you will see similar to the Poll Tax. Do not do this.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH3 7
H153	Boscombe & Pokesdown	Strongly disagree with Parish Council	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5
H154	Boscombe & Pokesdown	The area is already well served under BCP no need to waste any further money.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Muscliff & Strouden Park	BH8 0

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
H155	Boscombe & Pokesdown	The bureaucracy and cost of additional layers of local government should be avoided wherever possible.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH3 7
H156	Boscombe & Pokesdown	The proposed boundary is not sustainable on it's own as the proposed wards contain areas of deprivation. The names and exact boundaries are not logical or consistent.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	East Cliff & Springbourne	BH1 3
H157	Boscombe & Pokesdown	There is absolutely no need for a separate Parish Council for Boscombe and Pokesdown. It all comes under the remit of BCP Council. Another tier of Government makes an unnecessary confusion for the local population	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
H158	Boscombe & Pokesdown	There is insufficient information to be able to make an informed decision on any of these draft recommendations. There is no indication of what services will be provided via the new parish/town councils. There is no indication of the total costs involved in setting up these parishes/councils, nor of the level of precept, nor of the possibility of precepts being increased well above the capped level of council tax. There is no indication of the need for a clerk to the parish/town council, nor of the salary that this would incur. Whilst the expectation is that parish/town councillors will be appointed on a voluntary basis, there is no means of preventing them from voting to make salary payments out of the precept. Divisions into parish/town councils across the conurbation is likely to increase differences in levels of provision.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH15 3
H159	Boscombe & Pokesdown	There is no need for a further layer of government.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH3 7
H160	Boscombe & Pokesdown	There should be no community council whatsoever (paragraph h), nor any cllrs beyond what is already within BCP Council.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 1

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
H161	Boscombe & Pokesdown	This is a completely unnecessary level of bureaucracy	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH7 6
H162	Boscombe & Pokesdown	This represents another layer of bureaucracy throughout the area with additional costs. We have only just got rid of the 'original' layers of local government at considerable cost (although it was sold as a cost saving). Now you intend to set up all these additional layers. It implies the original decision was wrong - we end up paying for your mistakes!!!	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living outside proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9
H163	Boscombe & Pokesdown	This whole thing is wrong! I do not agree there should be a second council tax just for the sake of the new parish and town councils There have been no costings whatsoever as to how much this will cost so how can anyone agree when no information given on exactly 1) what the new town and parish councils will do and 2) how much tax with NO ceiling increase will cost. The timing of this consultation is so wrong costing in excess of £100k when money could have been better spent. I strongly believe it's just a matter of creating 'jobs for the boys' Or should I say girls in this instance! This survey and completing it is so very onerous. That too is disgusting and it's obviously relying on people to not bother giving their views as it's too difficult DISAGREE	Disagree	Postcode Not Matched	respondent living outside proposal area	Postcode Not Matched	

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
H164	Boscombe & Pokesdown	Too much bureaucracy. We should have been given more say in the 2019 merger. We cant now go back.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Wallisdown & Winton West	BH10 4
H165	Boscombe & Pokesdown	Unecessary layer of local governance	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH7 7
H166	Boscombe & Pokesdown	Unfortunately, looking at the benefits between Bcp and the parish Council is minimal for the extra cost so there's no point doing a Paris Council there'll be too much red tape	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
H167	Boscombe & Pokesdown	Unnecessary	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 8
H168	Boscombe & Pokesdown	Unnecessary layer of bureaucracy	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5
H169	Boscombe & Pokesdown	unnecessary unwanted vanity project	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Parkstone	BH15 2

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
H170	Boscombe & Pokesdown	Waste of time and tax payers money	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 3
H171	Boscombe & Pokesdown	We already have enough governance from central Government and an interfering BCP council. This will be just one more level, where no doubt we will have to fund more 'allowances' for these individuals. STOP wasting taxpayers money with these idiotic schemes!!	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH7 6
H172	Boscombe & Pokesdown	We do NOT need more councillors in the BCP area! The existing councillors should be trained to do a better job & not waste our taxes! They should control their funds instead of going into huge debt & asking schools to subsidise their debt. The system needs to be simplified NOT further complicated. Further councillors means more expensive council tax for NO PURPOSEFUL REASON-just more people doing a bad job. This is an unnecessary cost for zero benefit.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 3

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
H173	Boscombe & Pokesdown	We do not need to split down and cause more bureaucracy and cost.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
H174	Boscombe & Pokesdown	We dont need any more money soent on extra management, people are already paying extra for mortgages, electricity and other utilities	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH7 6
H175	Boscombe & Pokesdown	We don't need Parish Councils.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
H176	Boscombe & Pokesdown	we have enough council governance as a tri borough council (BCP) and do not need another level of governance.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH6 5
H177	Boscombe & Pokesdown	Yet another level of administration, which is unnecessary. Yet more talking shop Councilors, when what is needed is investment and action rather than a talks hop	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	East Cliff & Springbourne	BH1 3
H178	Boscombe & Pokesdown	Your just creating jobs for people that are not needed, which will push up local taxes. The region was run better in the 60s to 80s when it had far less. Now with so many unqualified council reps. The town region in general is a joke and sadly run	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	East Cliff & Springbourne	BH1 4

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
H179	Boscombe & Pokesdown	Waste of Money, should be covered under by BCP	Don't know/not sure	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Alderney & Bourne Valley	BH12 4
H180	Boscombe & Pokesdown	Boscombe Chine Gardens sits firmly in the East Cliff and Springbourne ward and is not part of Boscombe so should not be included in the proposed Boscombe and Pokesdown Council area	Neither agree nor disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	East Cliff & Springbourne	BH7 7
H181	Boscombe & Pokesdown	Do not need more burocratic levels	Neither agree nor disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH7 6

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
H182	Boscombe & Pokesdown	I am concerned that neighbourhoods such as Charminster will once again be left behind if other neighbourhoods get this status.	Neither agree nor disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Queen's Park	BH8 8
H183	Boscombe & Pokesdown	It is not for Electoral Services to comment on these questions	Neither agree nor disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
H184	Boscombe & Pokesdown	The area appears to split Southbourne 'high street' in two and doesn't feel naturally self identifying as pokesdown. The Boscombe part dominates the pokesdown part	Neither agree nor disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH7 6

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
H185	Boscombe & Pokesdown	The problem with the Draft recommendations are their incredible inconsistency. Why should any comparably sized area be treated differently? Such a situation is inherently unfair and undemocratic. An argument might be made for treating 'rural' areas slightly differently if any can be reasonably defined within BCP but this seems unlikely.	Neither agree nor disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Muscliff & Strouden Park	BH9 3

Q44 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Boscombe & Pokesdown?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
H186	Boscombe & Pokesdown	How has an important decision regarding the boundary and Fisherman's Walk been made without being a formal decision taken to council. "However, the Task and Finish Group decided to make some minor changes at this stage including the redrawing of the boundary for inclusion in the draft recommendations. These minor changes relate to the area known as 'Fisherman's Walk' and the small shopping areas at Portman Terrace and Beresford Road. The area outlined in red below is therefore proposed to be included within the boundary of the Southbourne parish for the purposes of this consultation." If the task and finish group can take these sort of decisions without consultation and a formal recommendation for decision, what is the point of have a consultation about creating representative groups when it seems clear that Councilors can just make decisions without a formal process. In other areas boundary changes have been part of the consultation and will be part of the formal decisions. Why is this case has this been slipped in as a "minor change"?	Agree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living in proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH5 1
H187	Boscombe & Pokesdown	I feel that these changes would be proportionate and appropriate.	Agree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living in proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH5 2
H188	Boscombe & Pokesdown	I have owned my house in Boscombe for 18 years. I first moved to BCP 38 years ago. It has been so depressing to see Boscombe go downhill. My road has never been cleaned since I have lived here. There is no decent play area for my grandchildren. We desperately need a Parish council because I will gladly pay a bit more on my Council Tax to get things done locally.	Agree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living in proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH7 6
H189	Boscombe & Pokesdown	I would wish to look at the overlap with parts of Southbourne as well within this Parish as the same logic would seem to apply.	Agree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living in proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH7 6

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
H190	Boscombe & Pokesdown	My main concern is ensuring we get a range of councillors from different age groups & try and keep it politics free as far as possible	Agree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living in proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH5 2
H191	Boscombe & Pokesdown	No	Agree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living in proposal area	Boscombe West	BH5 1
H192	Boscombe & Pokesdown	(A) A parish council should not be established as this would lead to another layer of government and increased taxation at a time when record numbers of people are living in proverty and taxation is at the highest level since the second world war. Boscombe is one of the most deprived ares in the UK and the residents can ill afford more costs added to council tax.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living in proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH5 1
H193	Boscombe & Pokesdown	A total waste of time and money: a distraction from the real issues of our locality.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living in proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH5 2

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
H194	Boscombe & Pokesdown	As stated above, the whole thing is an absurd idea add will add an unnecessary level of beaurocracy and inequality to local town management. Additional expenses that many locals can ill afford, and variations from one designated district to another. This type of devolution can work for small parishes lying outside of cities and towns, but will not work here. I fear there is also the potential that people with businesses or property in the area may able to exert undue influence. I fully support the case as laid out by Councillors George Farqhuar and Eleanor Connolly. BCP should either devolve back into it's original constituent parts or start by addressing the poor state of the town centre which is a shadow of it's glory days and restoring some pride into the town with some forward thinking ideas. I support the ditching of the airshow, it has become the same year in year out with no innovation, it's had it's moment and in the light of conflicts around the world and climate concerns is not appropriate any more. The Wheels festival was far more interesting with scope for innovation. But in the light of the amazing AUB & BU on our doorstep lets have something celebrating arts and tech innovation - something dynamic, inclusive and exciting to draw people back into town and not just sat messing up the beach gawping at planes going backwards and forwards. Look at St Ives or Margate and welcome artists and galleries. Time to re-build and local 'parish' councils will just hinder any progress - think bigger - we're better together!	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living in proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH6 5
H195	Boscombe & Pokesdown	At risk of repeating the above, stop wasting time and energy on paper pushing. Let people do some real work to benefit the population rather than adding a layer of unnecessary debate	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living in proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH5 2
H196	Boscombe & Pokesdown	BCP would be better to concentrate their efforts in improving their own services rather than creating other councils to do BCP's work for them.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living in proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH7 6

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
H197	Boscombe & Pokesdown	Boscombe North and Pokesdown Hill are very different areas, thus grouping them together seems arbitrary	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living in proposal area	Boscombe West	BH5 1
H198	Boscombe & Pokesdown	Dont fix something that isn't broken. Change of the sake of change is a pointless endeavour.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living in proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH6 5
H199	Boscombe & Pokesdown	I agree with the natural feature boundary to the West should include Boscombe Chine Gardens as natural, distinct definitive feature boundary. Likewise I agree the railway should define the northern boundary ad a distinct landmark feature dividing communities. I do not agree that the Eastern Boundary should exclude Fisherman's Walk as a distinct natural feature. This green space has belonged to the ward boundaries for Pokesdown dating back to the Pokesdown Urban District Council of 1895 and the Pokesdown Parish Council one of the first to exist. this was long before Southbourne and the Grove existed as we know it today. This 'land grab' of this greenspace fully in the Boscombe East and Pokesdown ward, would remove the say of BCP, the ward Councillors and the residents a say to the management and amenity usage of this ward asset. Likewise the 'landgrab' of the Woodside Road Car Park would remove the potential for revenue or say on parking fees to the residents of Boscombe East and Pokesdown should they form their own Community Council or not if the Southbourne proposal succeeds. the recommendations are driven by a small response of the 16,032 electorate. ie if a proposal/delegation/submission to CGR was less than 160 individuals it would still be less than 1% of the electorate. in no way is this representative of the communities and would be dismissed if it were a referendum on such a change for 'either/or' that is recommended in this CGR	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living in proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH5 2

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
H200	Boscombe & Pokesdown	I am also concerned that the removal of the Charter Trustees will end decades of civic tradition associated with the Mayors of Poole and Bournemouth. It is unclear who will be responsible for upholding these traditions, and the regalia that goes with them, or whether the Mayoralities will continue. Bournemouth is a young town with limited history and traditions and it would be very sad to lose the existing traditions that we have. So I object to the introduction of Parish Councils in Bournemouth and Poole for this reason also. It might make more sense under submission 38 to create a Bournemouth Town Council but it is still an inefficient and unnecessary layer of bureaucracy.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living in proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH5 2
H201	Boscombe & Pokesdown	I am opposed to the introduction of Parish Councils in principle. Parish Councils will exacerbate the older unbearable inequality in the UK and in the BCP conurbation. I was in attendance when the Head of Democratic Services of BCP Council presented the Parish Council initiative at the Boscombe & Pokesdown Towns Fund Meeting. The information presented by them seemed deliberately incomplete and in parts misleading. It required several interventions from knowledgeable members of the public in attendance to finally extract the accurate information. Should the Parish Council move forward, I would want to file a formal complaint against the presentation and the presenter.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living in proposal area	Boscombe West	BH1 4
H202	Boscombe & Pokesdown	I believe these plans will add confusion over which council does which job. Just keep it simple. I don't think it helps community cohesion by having multiple layers of councils for each area. BCP council need to improve their own services rather than creating new Councils to do their job for them.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living in proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH5 2
H203	Boscombe & Pokesdown	I completely oppose the entire idea of adding more layers of bureaucracy in local government. Council tax for the area is already a large enough proportion of my income for what I consider to be low value returns - bins only emptied half the time, congestion a nightmare, no parking, half the shops on the high street are empty, beaches covered in rubbish, I could go on. It's hard enough getting through to the right person as it is, an extra level of people that the public have to pay for is unacceptable, particularly with the cost of living as it is and stagnant wages. Additionally, there are only 'projected' costs, not real solid figures. No one knows how much this will cost but the likelihood is it'll be more than expected and the taxpayers will be left to foot the bill again, after years of misspending. BCP council could benefit from a restructuring and a redefining of responsibility, not more bureaucracy.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living in proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH7 6

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
H204	Boscombe & Pokesdown	I generally feel that the creation of parish and community councils will hinder decision making by adding extra layers / personalities. As a BCP Council employee, I'd also be concerned about the impact on staff and workloads if there are more councillors to respond to / groups to consult with / liaise with	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living in proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH6 5
H205	Boscombe & Pokesdown	I really don't understand the reasoning for this. Is it an attempt by bcp to do less work?	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living in proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH7 6
H206	Boscombe & Pokesdown	I would prefer not to be inside the Boscombe parish area - living in st Clements rd - one third of the rd is not in the proposed st Clements area - this is muddling, id like the boundary moved so I am in the East cliff area - this makes a big difference to house prices and I am upset that the council is deciding to make these divisions	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living in proposal area	Boscombe West	BH1 4
H207	Boscombe & Pokesdown	If it is not possible for Pokesdown and Southbourne to be one ward, how about a bigger ward made up of Pokesdown, Southbourne and Boscombe.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living in proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH7 6
H208	Boscombe & Pokesdown	If the proposals for a parish council are adopted, they will presumably take some of the responsibilities currently carried out by BCP Council. Surely this should reduce the Council Tax.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living in proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH5 2

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
H209	Boscombe & Pokesdown	It is a really bad idea, and absolutely not needed at all!! We have enough councillors at the moment t, who already do not know enough about the issues facing the area and the country to support the needs of the council. Ease do not go ahead with this.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living in proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH5 2
H210	Boscombe & Pokesdown	It's a wast of time and money, only putting more pressure on those who already suffer with low income. The council is running just fine as it currently is!	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living in proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH7 6
H211	Boscombe & Pokesdown	Its not needed	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living in proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH7 6
H212	Boscombe & Pokesdown	More red tape and expense which is unaffordable.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living in proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH7 6
H213	Boscombe & Pokesdown	Multiple layers of council will not benefit anyone. BCP should be concentrating on improving their own services rather than creating new councils to do the job for them.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living in proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH5 2

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
H214	Boscombe & Pokesdown	No	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living in proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH7 6
H215	Boscombe & Pokesdown	No.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living in proposal area	Boscombe West	BH5 1
H216	Boscombe & Pokesdown	Parish councils are a bad idea for so many reasons but mainly as they will lead to inequity	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living in proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH5 2
H217	Boscombe & Pokesdown	Parish councils are damaging for BCP council, enabling greater fragmentation, inequality between areas, corruption and prevention the integrated transformation that the area needs.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living in proposal area	Boscombe West	BH1 4
H218	Boscombe & Pokesdown	Please don't waste our money on any parish councils in the BCP area.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living in proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH6 5

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
H219	Boscombe & Pokesdown	Really not right to redraw boundaries.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living in proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH6 5
H220	Boscombe & Pokesdown	scrap them!	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living in proposal area	Boscombe West	BH1 4
H221	Boscombe & Pokesdown	Seems unneccesary	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living in proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH5 2
H222	Boscombe & Pokesdown	Stop attempting to add more layers of governance, providing less value adding service to the area and instead, use that money to provide products and services to the tax payer.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living in proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH7 6
H223	Boscombe & Pokesdown	stop wasting time and money on these pointless ideas	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living in proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH7 6

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
H224	Boscombe & Pokesdown	The lack of info easily available is shameful. Further information's and FULL costing should be issues so an informed decision can be made.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living in proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH7 6
H225	Boscombe & Pokesdown	The only change I would like to see is the complete scrapping of this lunacy and the money saved by not wasting any more being put into something which actually benefits local people, such as children's play spaces, which the council apparently doesn't have the money for.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living in proposal area	Boscombe West	BH1 4
H226	Boscombe & Pokesdown	This is not the change that is needed for this area.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living in proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH6 5
H227	Boscombe & Pokesdown	This proposed additional layer of local government administration is unnecessary and will not add value for money benefit either. It will allow BCP (staff and Councillors) to abdicate responsibility for those areas taken up by Parish Councils. I would like to see the continuance of those involved in the current administration deal efficiently and in a timely manner with the responsibilities within their current remit.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living in proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH5 2
H228	Boscombe & Pokesdown	We have enough layers of local government. There will be no benefit to residents. Some systems and services have yet to streamlined across BCP and this is years after its creation. Another layer complicates issues and risks ending in duplicating everything resulting in confusion and wasting our money. Drawing the arbitrary boundaries will not create a sense of cohesion community. My proposed ward would bring together areas that have nothing in common with each other. Now BCP has been, created the council should concentrate on improving there efficiency and not complicate issues	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living in proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH5 1

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
H229	Boscombe & Pokesdown	WHy move us from Southbourne to Boscombe?	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living in proposal area	Boscombe East & Pokesdown	BH5 2
H230	Boscombe & Pokesdown	Yes abandon the idea! Stop creating more work, costing more burden to an already overtaxed population! It is a bit like paying for the UK parliament MPs and the MEP we voted to get out of that double expense!!	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living in proposal area	Boscombe West	BH1 4
H231	Boscombe & Pokesdown	As Chair of the Boscombe and Pokesdown Community Forum, I would like to reiterate our strong support for a community council that reflects the unique identity, history, and ambitions of this area. The Forum has worked with residents over many years to deliver a democratically grounded Neighbourhood Plan, and we see the creation of a community council as a natural and necessary next step — enabling more transparent, accountable, and participatory local governance. We are concerned that if our proposal is not successful, the area may be absorbed by default into a larger Bournemouth-wide town council. This would risk diluting the local voice and reversing years of progress made towards devolved, community-led decision making. In terms of boundaries, we continue to oppose the reassignment of areas such as Fisherman's Walk, the adjacent car park, and a single block of shops. These proposals were not put forward by either neighbouring community and risk undermining the integrity and coherence of the Boscombe and Pokesdown Neighbourhood Plan. We also strongly urge the Council to revisit the northern boundary of the proposed area. While we recognise that the proposal to include the entirety of Kings Park may have been overreaching, we believe that a more modest but important adjustment should be made to include key sites north of the railway line that are historically, functionally, and strategically tied to Boscombe. This includes: Station Approach and the cluster of businesses surrounding Boscombe Railway Station Kings Park Academy, a school serving many families in Boscombe and Pokesdown The Kings Park Leisure and Learning Centre, which has received targeted investment from the Boscombe Towns Fund, including funding for new heating	Agree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
		systems and a children's play area					
		The former Kings Park Nursery, which has potential as a site for community use, schools partnerships or Boscombe-based enterprise					
		Boscombe Cemetery, which has long been part of Boscombe's civic identity and public space network					
		While the railway line is sometimes seen as a natural boundary, in reality it is easily passable via Ashley Road and Gloucester Road, and parts of Pokesdown already lie north of the line. These locations are well used by residents from Boscombe and Pokesdown, and several — such as the Leisure Centre — are already funded and administered as part of Boscombe. To exclude them from the proposed community council boundary would break those functional ties and weaken the ability to plan and govern the area cohesively. Importantly, the area around Boscombe Railway Station is of long-term strategic value. The station has long been subject to local aspirations for reopening, and should light rail, tram, or integrated transport schemes be introduced in the future, this area would form a critical part of Boscombe's infrastructure. Its exclusion now would be short-sighted. Ultimately, we want to see a governance model that strengthens local democracy, respects historical ties, and supports future regeneration. This review should not					
		only address administrative convenience but also reflect the lived experience and identity of the people who live, work, and contribute to Boscombe and Pokesdown.					

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
H232	Boscombe & Pokesdown	Can a Parish Be Too Small? While local identity is important, it must be balanced with sustainability. The law makes no concessions for the size of a parish council—statutory obligations remain the same regardless of whether a council serves 2,000 or 20,000 electors. Smaller councils still face requirements around AGAR submissions, audits, summonses, policy reviews, data protection, financial transparency, and staff management—with far fewer councillors and resources to deliver them. There is a strong argument that Boscombe & Pokesdown and Southbourne would benefit significantly from a combined governance model based on their location next to each other. While the two areas differ in character and demographic profile, a shared council could offer: Reduced administrative burden – One audit, one set of minutes, one AGAR return, one governance framework. Increased precept base – Greater flexibility to fund community services, events, and improvements. Larger pool of councillors – Strengthened resilience and broader representation. More volunteers and engaged residents – Higher turnout at public meetings and stronger public scrutiny. Improved service delivery – Some services (e.g. youth provision, play spaces, community halls) do not scale efficiently at a hyperlocal level; better outcomes often require critical mass. A merged community council could still respect and reflect local identities through ward-based representation, but with vastly improved governance efficiency and strategic capability.	Agree	Christchurch Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Commons	BH23 2
H233	Boscombe & Pokesdown	Manor Road and its problems are completely ignored 1. Speeding whilst there is parking on both sides of the road, 2 No weekend parking charges East of the roundabout by The Park Inn. 3. Anti-social behaviour in Manor Road with the result that trash and fly tipping is rife. 4. Inadequate police coverage leading to abandoned cars and abuse of parking timing. 5. Overgrown trees that are both ugly and dangerous and block many of the pavements because they are too tall and have been planted in the middle of said pavements etc. With a parish council the many residents who are concentrated in this area ,living in blocks.(Dunholme Manor/Albany/Keverstone etc. Would get a fair and proper hearing with regard to their problems. Just because most residents come out of their blocks by car and don't notice all these comments, IT'S NOT AN EXCUSE TO DO DO NOTHING ABOUT IT.	Agree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	East Cliff & Springbourne	BH1 3

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
H234	Boscombe & Pokesdown	No	Agree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH3 7
H235	Boscombe & Pokesdown	Support the small boundary change	Agree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 3
H236	Boscombe & Pokesdown	This would help to give Boscombe a distinct entity	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 2
H237	Boscombe & Pokesdown	(b) I think Seabourne Road shops restaurants flats and houses up to Ashbourne Road should be moved from 'Boscombe and Pokesdown' to 'Southbourne'. The current 'Welcome to Southbourne' sign is just east of Ashbourne Road.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 3
H238	Boscombe & Pokesdown	A drain on public funding and no substance offered for improved services. Disgusting proposal and massive waste of resources. Secutary of state has been emailed on the fiasco.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 7

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
H239	Boscombe & Pokesdown	a) Merge it with Bournemouth Town Council	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Bearwood & Merley	BH11 9
H240	Boscombe & Pokesdown	Abolish all parish councils across BCP, and certainly do not set up any new ones. Stop wasting council tax funding on additional levels of governance that is not required. What tangible value for money to they actually provide, zero. BCP has a number of problems (poor road infrastructure/congestion, roads full of pot holes, a huge homeless and drug/alcohol abuse problem, not enough public services [schools, hospitals, GPs, NHS dentists] for all the new housing estates that are being built) and BCP council what to use council tax funding which could be directed to those issues, to set up Parish Councils who would more likely be made up of old, straight, white, able bodied men, who do not reflect the diversity of the area. BCP have almost bankrupted themselves, implemented ill thought out Green policies/legislation, so we don't need more of the same.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 8
H241	Boscombe & Pokesdown	All of the draft proposals should be rejected	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
H242	Boscombe & Pokesdown	Any activity that dilutes the responsibility of the council is not acceptable.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	East Cliff & Springbourne	BH1 4
H243	Boscombe & Pokesdown	As above	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 1

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
H244	Boscombe & Pokesdown	BCP unitary authority, amalgamating Poole, Christchurch and Bournemouth, was supposed to improve transparency, costs and bureaucracy. Creating parish/town councils will be adding another level of unnecessary bureaucracy and cost with no tangible benefit and worse accountability. BCP has just appointed a new CEO; consideration should be given to allowing this person to attempt to improve the current running of the unitary authority before any changes are implemented. No decisions should be made before 2027 and then only following a referendum.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH15 3
H245	Boscombe & Pokesdown	Council tax should be reduced and services improved	Disagree	Christchurch Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Christchurch Town	BH23 1
H246	Boscombe & Pokesdown	Disagree with the concept of creating an additional layer of local authority administration having decided to delayer and combine authorities in 1997 and 2019, for the reasons given in the previous question. A referendum should apply for the whole of BCP if this concept is pursued	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
H247	Boscombe & Pokesdown	Do not need	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Winton East	BH9 1
H248	Boscombe & Pokesdown	Don't try to fix something that is not broken.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
H249	Boscombe & Pokesdown	I am not sure this proposal is really wanted by the community.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	East Cliff & Springbourne	BH1 4
H250	Boscombe & Pokesdown	I disagree with the continuation of current parish, community and town councils within BCP Council and I disagree with the establishment of any new parish, community or town councils in BCP. All services and democratic processes should be done through BCP Council and no Council tax precepts should be put in place.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 4
H251	Boscombe & Pokesdown	I do not agree with the creation of parish councils within BCP, or if you want to have separate smaller councils, they should replace BCP, which would then be abolished.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH7 6
H252	Boscombe & Pokesdown	I do not want to see divisions between different areas of Bournemouth.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Winton East	BH9 1
H253	Boscombe & Pokesdown	I just feel that creating parish councils is a completely unnecessary and costly operation.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH7 7

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
H254	Boscombe & Pokesdown	I prefer my council tax to cover the cost of essential services, rather than more councillors trying to decide on the services they perceive to be required. Further layers of council also reduces accountability in local government.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	East Cliff & Springbourne	BH1 4
H255	Boscombe & Pokesdown	I think the Boscombe-Southbourne division that this and the Southbourne application envisages is particularly egregious, separating communities in surprising ways, dividing people who consider themselves neighbours. People can identify with an area but that doesn't mean they need or want to have that area delineated on a map. People and communities are fluid and this restricts and diminishes that.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Queen's Park	BH8 9
H256	Boscombe & Pokesdown	I would like to see more improvements on current system. Any work caried out should be audited properly. People in charge should be accountable for every decision they make and clearly documented.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH7 7
H257	Boscombe & Pokesdown	If the councils have to go ahead, the boundary should follow that of the Boscombe & Pokesdown Neighbourhood Plan for practicality. Woodside Road car park should be in the same council as the shops on Seabourne Road that rely on it. Fisherman's Walk should be in the same council as Cafe Riva and the cliff lift as the maintenance should be joined-up.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5
H258	Boscombe & Pokesdown	It is solving a problem that doesn't exist. The only fair way forward, if you want to raise a precept, is to make all of Bournemouth a single Parish, like Poole and Christchurch. There are no villages inside Bournemouth. Not even Tucton is proposed as a parish, and that is probably the only genuine contender.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
H259	Boscombe & Pokesdown	It is too complex, lets keep it simple as one BCP Council.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	East Cliff & Springbourne	BH1 3
H260	Boscombe & Pokesdown	It will cost more for minimal benefits. The money would be better spent on the community than on more Counsellor who do not listen to the people.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3
H261	Boscombe & Pokesdown	It's an unnecessary expense	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Alderney & Bourne Valley	BH12 4
H262	Boscombe & Pokesdown	Its an awful idea and the current council should be focusing its efforts on getting the simple things right like maintaining the roads and pavements and ensuring a good health service before meddling with the un important things	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
H263	Boscombe & Pokesdown	Leave the local taxpayers alone; Ms Earle and her band of misfits is enough for anyone!	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH7 6

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
H264	Boscombe & Pokesdown	Leave things as they are as despite the hollow words from BCP council, this is clearly going to end up costing residents money that simply cannot be afforded in a cost of living crisis. If the current incumbents are unable to work within the constraints as they currently exist, then they should resign en masse and make way for competent people who can. This is nothing but a transparent vehicle to screw the local residents. You say that there will not be any additional cost, but that is a blatant lie. You will bring in a precept to begin with and that will go up and up and up year on year, totally unchecked and the people of the BCP region will be the ones to pay for it. It's unacceptable that you would do something like this without a referendum (which you clearly won't as you already know what the outcome would be and you don't like listening to people who disagree with you). BCP Council is a repugnant organisation, badly run by incompetent councillors with no honesty, integrity or decency. You are an embarrassment to the local people and you should be totally and utterly ashamed of yourselves (but I doubt you have the decency to feel that way either). Shame on you all.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3
H265	Boscombe & Pokesdown	Less bureaucracy and transparency is required from BCP with more action from those already elected, not more	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5
H266	Boscombe & Pokesdown	Merge with Bournemouth	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Kinson	BH10 7
H267	Boscombe & Pokesdown	No	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	East Cliff & Springbourne	BH1 3

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
H268	Boscombe & Pokesdown	No	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Moordown	BH10 7
H269	Boscombe & Pokesdown	No	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH7 7
H270	Boscombe & Pokesdown	No changes please.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	East Cliff & Springbourne	BH1 3
H271	Boscombe & Pokesdown	Once the government has completed it's latest round of local government reorganisation then this should be revisited particularly as we do not know whether the national government as part of the efficiency reorganisation my abolish them nationally	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Winton East	BH9 1
H272	Boscombe & Pokesdown	People are trying to circumvent the elected authority to suit their own politics	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Moordown	BH9 1

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
H273	Boscombe & Pokesdown	Please just leave things as they are.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH7 6
H274	Boscombe & Pokesdown	PLEASE KEEP IT CENTRALISED AND SIMPLE.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	East Cliff & Springbourne	BH1 3
H275	Boscombe & Pokesdown	Please prioritise how money is spent. People are skint.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH7 6
H276	Boscombe & Pokesdown	Please remove them.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 1
H277	Boscombe & Pokesdown	Scrap it. This survey is getting tedious, especially since BCP council doesn't pay a blind bit of attention to what local people think. That's why you are all going to get voted out of office.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 9

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
H278	Boscombe & Pokesdown	Shit Idea. I would like to stand as a councillor, because I know it attracts narcissistic controlling self-important individuals.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Bournemouth Central	BH1 1
H279	Boscombe & Pokesdown	Spend the money dealing with drug dealing and crime in Boscombe instead of wasting it on a Parish Council.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5
H280	Boscombe & Pokesdown	Stop looking for reasons to soend money , but save and invest back into community.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH7 6
H281	Boscombe & Pokesdown	Strongly disagree against any and all town and/or parish councils. If the central Local Authroity cannot function in its current format, then you must disband BCP and hand responsibility back to the separate localities. We are facing a cost of living crisis, and forcing more cost onto the rate payer, especially at a time where BCP has swindled and wasted millions, is a recipe for disaster. I will personally see that if this is forced through that you will see similar to the Poll Tax. Do not do this.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH3 7
H282	Boscombe & Pokesdown	That this proposal is dropped and the council concentrate on better services and not more councillorsparish or otherwise it's a complete waste of taxpayer money	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
H283	Boscombe & Pokesdown	The draft recommendation fail to explain any benefit whatsoever to the residents, workers or visitors to the area, just duplication and additional bureaucracy.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 2
H284	Boscombe & Pokesdown	There has been no democratic input in to these proposals.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	East Cliff & Springbourne	BH1 3
H285	Boscombe & Pokesdown	These proposals hack off the "nice areas" and leave the less affluent/ less beautiful areas all lumped together. Typical Lib Dems. It feels like I am being "partitioned"!	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Wallisdown & Winton West	BH10 4
H286	Boscombe & Pokesdown	This is a complete waste of Council Taxpayers money	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH7 6

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
H287	Boscombe & Pokesdown	This whole thing is wrong! I do not agree there should be a second council tax just for the sake of the new parish and town councils There have been no costings whatsoever as to how much this will cost so how can anyone agree when no information given on exactly 1) what the new town and parish councils will do and 2) how much tax with NO ceiling increase will cost. The timing of this consultation is so wrong costing in excess of £100k when money could have been better spent. I strongly believe it's just a matter of creating 'jobs for the boys' Or should I say girls in this instance! This survey and completing it is so very onerous. That too is disgusting and it's obviously relying on people to not bother giving their views as it's too difficult	Disagree	Postcode Not Matched	respondent living outside proposal area	Postcode Not Matched	
H288	Boscombe & Pokesdown	Town or Parish Councils are not required at this time. Any decision should be by vote at the Local Elections to be held during May 2027. It is clear the Leadership of BCP Council together with the councillors with the biggest majority have already made their decision so this 'consultation' is nothing but a farce.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 8
H289	Boscombe & Pokesdown	unnecessary unwanted vanity project	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Parkstone	BH15 2
H290	Boscombe & Pokesdown	Waste of time and tax payers money	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 3

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
H291	Boscombe & Pokesdown	We do not need more councillors	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5
H292	Boscombe & Pokesdown	We do NOT need more councillors in the BCP area! The existing councillors should be trained to do a better job & not waste our taxes! They should control their funds instead of going into huge debt & asking schools to subsidise their debt. The system needs to be simplified NOT further complicated. Further councillors means more expensive council tax for NO PURPOSEFUL REASON-just more people doing a bad job. This is an unnecessary cost for zero benefit.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 3
H293	Boscombe & Pokesdown	We do not need parish councils as well as BCP. That is unnecessary, costly and would lead to certain matters not being considered by either.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH7 7

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
H294	Boscombe & Pokesdown	1. The proposal for part of polling districts BE2 and BE3 to be excluded from the parish and instead be part of the proposed Southbourne Parish raises concerns. This would create new very small polling districts containing electors voting for different BCP Council wards. This would increase the margin for error in polling stations and make administering the elections more complex. This could be resolved by requesting a related alteration from the Local Government Boundary Commission for England to the boundary between the Boscombe East and West Southbourne BCP Council wards to make this coterminous with the proposed parish boundary. If a related alteration cannot be approved, then parts of BE2 and BE3 should not be excluded from the proposed parish. 2. The proposal to take in part of polling district EC1 raises concerns. This would create another small polling district with electors voting for different BCP Council wards. This would increase the margin for error in polling stations and make administering the elections more complex. This could be resolved by requesting a related alteration from the Local Government Boundary Commission for England to the boundary between the East Cliff and Springbourne and Boscombe West BCP Council wards to make this coterminous with the proposed parish boundary. If a related alteration cannot be approved, then part of EC1 should not be included in the proposed parish.	Neither agree nor disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
H295	Boscombe & Pokesdown	I am concerned that neighbourhoods such as Charminster will once again be left behind if other neighbourhoods get this status.	Neither agree nor disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Queen's Park	BH8 8
H296	Boscombe & Pokesdown	Too many parish Councillors - Could be cut down by 4	Neither agree nor disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH7 6

Q44 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Boscombe & Pokesdown?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should be established	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
H297	Boscombe & Pokesdown	utter waste of public money	Neither agree nor disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH7 6